	US DI STRICT COURT DISTR ICT OF NEBRASKA
IN THE UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT JUN 2 3 2015
FOR THE	DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
PRISM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,	OFFICE OF THE CLERK
	,)
Plaintiff,	8:12CV123
)
V.)
and the second second second second)
SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., D/B/A) VERDICT FORM
SPRINT PCS,)
)
Defendant.)
)

Question 1: Do you find that Prism Technologies LLC ("Prism") has proven by a greater weight of the evidence that Sprint Spectrum L.P., doing business as Sprint PCS ("Sprint") infringed any of the following asserted claims? A "yes" is a finding for Prism; a "no" is a finding for Sprint.

U.S. Patent No. 8,127,345

Claim 1: YES _ X _ NO _____ Claim 33: YES _ **x** NO

U.S. Patent No. 8,387,155

Claim 7: YES X NO NO NO

If you have answered "NO" to all the claims, then you have concluded your deliberations and your foreperson should date and sign the verdict form, and return the verdict to the Court. If you have answered "YES" to any claims, continue to Question 2 below and answer for each claim you have found to be infringed.

Question 2: What amount of reasonable royalty damages, if any, do you find that Prism has proven by a greater weight of the evidence is adequate to compensate Prism for Sprint's infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,387,155 and/or U.S. Patent No. 8,127,345?

\$30 million

DATED this 23 day of June, 2015.

FOREPERSON